

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/998,082	11/29/2001	Laszlo Hars	US010203	4368	
24737	7590 10/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			ELISCA, PIERRE E		
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3621	-	
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	الم		
		09/998,082	HARS ET AL.	\$		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pierre E. Elisca	3621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	;		
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by started the period by the Office later than three months after the may be patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 August 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	L. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the meri	its is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	ion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.				
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
—	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•		` '		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-15	i2 .		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	igh phoney and or or o.o.o.	3 1 1 0 (a) (a) 51 (i).			
-7.	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	е		
	application from the International Bur	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Intendence	Summany (DTC) 4423			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	(08) 5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's response, filed on 08/25/2004.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- 3. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

 Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

 Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Kocher et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,640,305).

As per claims 1, 3-6 and 8-15 Kocher discloses a population of tamper-resistant cryptographic enforcement devices that is portioned into groups, comprising the steps of:

Identifying content to be downloaded (see., col 10, lines 66 and 67, col 11, lines 1-26, specifically wherein said the REM includes an identifier of the content);

Art Unit: 3621

Partitioning the content into at least two sections wherein each of the at least two sections has a duration which is less than a threshold duration value assigned by the screening algorithm (see., col 25, lines 20-35, specifically wherein said content providers can limit the maximum duration of such use by making rights keys expire periodically, col 22, lines 48-67); and

Subjecting the portioned content to the screening algorithm (see., abstract, col 2, lines 30-44, col 10, lines 66 and 67, col 11, lines 1-26). It is inherent to recognize that the maximum duration can also includes a range of .1 second to 1.5 seconds.

As per claim 2, Kocker discloses the claimed method of attacking a screening algorithm as recited in claim 1 wherein the screening algorithm is a secure digital music initiative screening algorithm (see., abstract, col 3, lines 36-52).

As per claim 7, Kocker discloses the claimed method of attacking a screening algorithm as recited in claim 1 wherein a duration of each of the at least two sections is in the range of about 0.1 second to about 1.5 seconds (see., col 25, lines 20-35, specifically wherein said content providers can limit the maximum duration of such use by making rights keys expire periodically, col 22, lines 48-67, It is inherent to recognize that the maximum duration can also includes a range of .1 second to 1.5 seconds).

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

Art Unit: 3621

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 08/25/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

REMARKS

6. Applicant argues that the prior art of record Kocher was filed on 6 september 2001, after the date of invention by the application, and thus is not available as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e). However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with this assertion since the Kocher's reference has a continuation application filed on 02 september 1999.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary Patent Examiner

October 20, 2004